IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM NO.32567-M of 2006
DATE OF DECISION.8.12.2006

Nachhattar Sijngh .....Petitioner

Versus

State of U. T. Chandigarh and others .....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAI LAMBA

PRESENT None for the petitioner.
Mr. D. D.Sharma, Advocate
for respondent.

AJAI LAMBA , J(Oral)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of directions for registration of FIR against the respondent no.4.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent / State on the basis of the reply has argued that a complaint Annexure R1 dated 21.5.2005 was received in the office of Senior Superintendent of Police, U. T. Chandigarh which, however, was not signed. Despite such facts, a detailed inquiry was conducted. It was found as a matter of fact that there was a money dispute between Kulwant Singh with whom the petitioner and others were working as mason. On account of that dispute, the present complaint has been filed. There is an agreement and receipt Ex.R2 which is dated 13.5.2005 which shows that the petitioner had received Rs.2,56,100/- from Kulwant Singh. There arose a dispute as to whether the amount was settled at Rs. 50 per foot yard or Rs.40 per square foot. Be that as it may, it is pleaded on behalf of the respondent that commission of cognizable

offence

has not been found and therefore, FIR has not been registered.

Considering the nature of the case and the reply of the respondent, no grounds are made out for issuance of directions.

Petition is dismissed.

December 8,2006 **(AJAI LAMBA)**
mamta **JUDGE**